

1 TYLER R. ANDREWS, Esq.  
2 Nevada Bar No. 9499  
3 andrewst@gtlaw.com  
4 GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP  
5 10845 Griffith Peak Drive  
6 Suite 600  
7 Las Vegas, NV 89135  
8 Telephone: (702) 792-3773  
9 Facsimile: (702) 792-9002  
10 *Counsel for Defendant/Counterclaimant*

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

INAG, INC., a Nevada corporation,  
and  
MARK H. JONES and SHERYLE L.  
JONES as Trustees of the Mark Hamilton  
Jones and Sheryle Lynn Jones Family  
Trust U/A/D November 7, 2013,  
Plaintiffs/Counterdefendants,  
v.  
RICHAR, INC., a Nevada corporation,  
Defendant/Counterclaimant.

Case No.: 2:16-cv-00722-RFB-EJY  
[Consolidated with Case No. 2:16-cv-01282-  
RCJ-CWH]

[Assigned to Hon. Richard F. Boulware, II]

**JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER TO  
EXTEND THE MOTION IN LIMINE  
BRIEFING SCHEDULE**

Defendant Richar, Inc. (“Richar”) and Plaintiffs, INAG, Inc. and Mark H. Jones and Sheryle L. Jones as Trustees of the Mark Hamilton Jones and Sheryle Lynn Jones Family Trust U/A/D November 7, 2013 (collectively, “INAG”), by and through their attorneys, hereby stipulate to an additional one-week extension of the briefing deadlines previously entered by this Court on November 5, 2021 (ECF Docket No. 133).

The current scheduling order sets the briefing as follows:

1. Richar's Responses and/or Objections to Plaintiff's Motions in Limine No. 1 (ECF  
2 Docket No. 138), No. 2 (ECF Docket No. 139), No. 3 (ECF Docket No. 140) and  
3 No. 4 (ECF Docket No. 141) are due February 11, 2022;  
4. INAG's Replies are due February 18, 2022.

5 Due to an unmovable scheduling conflict, Richar respectfully requests a one-week  
6 extension of time to respond to INAG's Motions in Limine.

7 The Parties have discussed the schedule and subsequent briefing deadlines, and agreed  
8 upon the following:

9 • Richar's Responses and/or Objections will now be due **February 18, 2022**; and  
10 • INAG's Replies will now be due **February 25, 2022**.

11 The parties' request is brought for the good cause shown and is not sought for purposes of  
12 delay.

13 IT IS SO STIPULATED AND AGREED.

14 DATED: February 8, 2022

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

16 By: /s/ Tyler R. Andrews

17 Tyler R. Andrews  
Counsel for Defendant/Counterclaimant

18 DATED: February 8, 2022

DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC

20 By: /s/ Ariana F. Pellegrino

21 Ariana F. Pellegrino  
Counsel for Plaintiffs/Counterdefendants

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 DATED: \_\_\_\_\_



25 **RICHARD E. BOULWARE, II**  
26 **United States District Court**

27 DATED this 9th day of February, 2022.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on February 8, 2022, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was filed and served via the United States District Court's ECF System to the persons listed below:

Rob Phillips, Esq.	rob.phillips@fisherbroyles.com
John L. Krieger , Esq.	jkrieger@dickinson-wright.com, kcooper@dickinson-wright.com, kkoehm@dickinson-wright.com, lstewart@dickinson-wright.com
John S. Artz, Esq.	jsartz@dickinsonwright.com
Franklin M. Smith, Esq.	FSmith@dickinson-wright.com
Ariana F. Pellegrino, Esq.	APellegrino@dickinsonwright.com

/s/ *Tyler R. Andrews*

An employee of Greenberg Traurig, LLP